

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/708,549	Applicant(s) FAENZA ET AL.
	Examiner Lisa M. Caputo	Art Unit 2876

All Participants:**Status of Application:** quayle(1) Lisa M. Caputo.

(3) _____.

(2) Mr. Carl Wischhusen (Reg. No. 43,279).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 28 April 2006**Time:** 10:30 am**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

20, 21

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted attorney in order to discuss the limitations of claims 21 and 21, and how they didn't contain some of the limitations of independent claim 1. The attorney proposed an amendment to the claims, which examiner deemed to place the application in condition for allowance. Examiner obtained authorization for an examiner's amendment to correct these claims.